ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIONED

2	COMMISSIONERS Arizona Corporation Commission MARC SPITZER - Chairman DOCKETED 2003 FEB 10 P 3: 13
3	JIM IRVIN WILLIAM A. MUNDELL FEB 1 0 2003 AZ CORP COMMISSION
4	JEFF HATCH-MILLER DOCUMENT CONTROL
5	MIKE GLEASON DOCKETED BY
6	TROY & TRACY DENTON, et al.,) DOCKET: T-01051B-02-0535 (Consolidated)
7	COMPLAINANTS,)
8) STAFF'S RESPONSE TO QWEST VS.) CORPORATION'S MOTION TO
9) STAY FURTHER PROCEEDINGS. OWEST CORPORATION,)
10	RESPONDENT.
11	Staff of the Utilities Division ("Staff") of the Arizona Corporation Commission
12	("Commission") does not object to Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc. ("Midvale") motion to stay
13	further proceedings in the above-captioned docket until final resolution of Docket No. T-02532A-03-
14	0017.
15	However, Staff does object to Qwest Corporation's ("Qwest") suggestion that Qwest will
16	transition two addresses in Section 11 (10150 N. Poquito Valley Road & 10195 N. Poquito Valley
17	Road) to Midvale. Qwest is currently providing service to the above addresses and should continue to
18	do so. These customers are not a party to this complaint. These same customers should not be forced
19	to switch to a new provider even if Qwest inadvertently chose to provide them with service.
20	Finally, Staff requests that a procedural conference be scheduled in order to discuss the
21	ramifications of this motion with all parties. The Complainants in this matter are not represented by
22	an attorney. In addition, Staff is representing its own interests in this matter, which may or may not
23	be in accord with the remedy that the Complainants are seeking. Staff believes a procedural
24	conference would provide an opportunity for the Administrative Law Judge to answer any questions
25	the Complainants have about the motion and to explain the factors that the Commission will have to
26	···
27	•••
28	

LEGAL\DRONALD\PLEADINGS\02-0535MTN2STAY.DOC

1	consider before Midvale's applic
2	procedural conference could also pr
3	for the Complainants the role that t
4	DECDECARIU I V CUDMI
5	RESPECTFULLY SUBMI
6	
7	
8	
9	·
10	
11	Original and fifteen (15) copies
12	of the foregoing filed this 10 th day of February, 2003 with:
13	Docket Control
14	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
15	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16	Copies of the foregoing have been mailed on this 10 th day of February, 2003 to:
17	
18	Timothy Berg, Esq. Darcy Renfro, Esq. 3003 North Central Avenue
19	Suite 2600
20	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913
21	Troy and Tracy Denton P.O. Box 26343
22	Prescott Valley, Arizona 86312
23	Eric & Sherry Thompson P.O. Box 27016
24	Prescott Valley, Arizona 86312
25	Arnold & Tamara Fatheree P.O. Box 26268 Prescott Valley, Arizona 86312
26	Kirk & Bobbi Limburg
27	DO Day 27692

consider before Midvale's application to extend its service territory is granted. Further, the procedural conference could also provide an opportunity for the Administrative Law Judge to clarify for the Complainants the role that tariffs serve in the provision of service.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 10th day of February, 2003.

David M. Ronald
Attorney, Legal Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 542-3402

è-mail: dronald@cc.state.az.us

Prescott Valley, Arizona 86312

P.O. Box 27683

27

28

1 2	Sandra Rodr P.O. Box 25996 Prescott Valley, Arizona 85312
3	Tommy L. White P.O. Box 27951
4	Prescott Valley, Arizona 85312
5	John J. & Patricia J. Martin 7955 Memory Lane P.O. Box 25428
6	Prescott Valley, Arizona 86312
7	April & Bryant Peters
8	P.O. Box 27302 Prescott Valley, Arizona 86312
9	
10	Ungelach. Bennett
11	Angeladi. Bennett
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	